

Modelling Cost Effectiveness of Behaviour Modification Programmes and Effects on Medication Case Study of Education Programmes in Diabetes

Professor Alan Brennan & Mike Gillett. Health Economics and Decision Science, ScHARR, UK.



Res of the second secon

Objectives

- To model long-term clinical and cost-effectiveness of the Diabetes Education and Self-Management for Ongoing and Newly Diagnosed (DESMOND) for people with newly diagnosed Type 2 diabetes, versus usual care in the UK.
- (2) To consider issues arising in modelling education / behaviour modification programmes and how modelling can support the development of clinical research.

Methodology

The modelling undertakes a long-term cost-utility analysis with evidence from a 12month multicentre cluster RCT using the Sheffield Type 2 Diabetes Model. Short and long-term outcomes include

- •Hba1c,
- HDalc,
 lipids and
- systolic blood pressure,
- patients' weight and
- smoking status.

The model examines long-term use of therapies including oral hypoglycemic agents. Risk / disease progression models based on UKPDS and other evidence², estimate incidence of complications, mortality, costs³ and health-related quality of life^{4.5.6}.

Medication Use, NHS Resources in trial, Unit Costs and Overall Cost Difference

Medication	Average number of months use of medication per			Unit	Effect of difference in
	patient during the 12 months of the trial			Cost	usage on costs
	Control	Intervention	Difference*	£per	(intervention less
	(unadjusted)	(unadjusted)	Mean (95% Cl)	day	control)£
Metformin	3.04	3.30	- 0.35 (- 0.92 to 0.22)	0.13	-1.38
Sulphonylurea	0.80	0.79	- 0.15 (- 0.48 to 0.18)	0.11	- 0.50
Glitazone	0.20	0.20	- 0.08 (- 0.22 to 0.06)	1.14	-2.77
Lipid-lowering drugs	7.05	7.29	- 0.17 (- 0.87 to 0.53)	0.30	-1.55
Antihypertensive drugs	7.50	7.21	- 0.04 (- 0.48 to 0.40)	0.14	-0.17
Aspirin	4.43	4.45	+ 0.21 (- 0.43 to 0.84)	0.04	0.26
Antidepressants	1.11	1.02	+ 0.10 (- 0.15 to 0.34)	0.03	0.09
Total					- 6.03
Use of Primary care		Mean number	of visits	Unit	Effect of mean
	Control	Intervention	Difference *	Cost	difference in usage on
	(unadjusted)	(unadjusted)	Mean (95% Cl)	£	costs
Visits to a General	4.34	4.33	+0.36 (-0.29 to 1.0)	30	+£10.80
Practitioner					
Nursevisit	4.82	5.26	+0.25 (-0.45 to 0.94)	8	+£2.00
Physiotherapist	0.81	0.43	- 0.35 (- 0.82 to 0.11)	16	-£ 5.60
Podiatrist	1.36	1.23	-0.19 (-0.73 to 0.35)	9	-£1.71
Dietician	0.61	0.49	-0.15 (-0.36 to 0.07)	37	-£ 5.55
Optician	1.25	1.28	+0.10 (-0.09 to 0.28)	38.35	+£3.80

Biomedical Markers and Smoking Rates at Month 12			
Marker	Cluster-adjusted		
	difference between		
	study arms		

Differences in Cardiovascular Risk Factors in the DESMOND Trial

	1	dentry on the
		(intervention minus
		control) : mean (95% Cl)
	n	Month 12
HbA _{tc}	715	0.060 (-0.097 to +0.217)
Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	709	-0.044 (-0.201to +0.114)
HDL (mmol/I)	526	0.015 (-0.043 to +0.073)
Systolic Blood Pressure (mm Hg)	797	0.984 (-1.800 to +3.768)

Conditional likelihood of smoking at month 12 given baseline status

	Control	Intervention	Difference
Probability smokes at month 12	88.2%	86.1%	-2.1%
given smoker at baseline	(0.774 to 0.990)	(0.748 to 0.974)	(-0.026 to -0.016)
Probability smokes at month 12	3.7%	0%	-3.7%
given non-smoker at baseline	(0.010 to 0.064)	(0 - 0.000)	(-0.010 to -0.064)

Conceptual Diagram for Sheffield Type 2 Diabetes Model

Long-term Disease State Progression Model



* Age, Gender, Smoking / former , smoker, HbA1c, SBP, Total Cholesterol, HDL, Ethnicity, Atrial fibrillation

Conclusions

1. Results suggest that DESMOND is cost effective compared to usual care.

2. Further modelling should include:

- whether maintenance of effect via longer-term top-up education is effective
- subgroup analysis of those who respond / do not respond
- direct modelling of exercise benefits
- adaptation to Type 1 diabetes education programmes such as Dose Adjustment for Normal Eating (DAFNE)

Results

	Usual Care	DESMOND	Difference
	Control	Intervention	(95% Cl)
Intervention Costs up to month 12			
(a) Intervention Cost based on Trial		£ 203	£ 203
(b) Intervention Cost 'real world'	-	£ 76	£ 76
Other Resource Use (per within-trial	£ 244	£ 260 *	£ 16
analysis)			(-£ 24 to £ 56)
Remaining Lifetime discounted costs			
Therapy & monitoring	£5,286	£5,302	£ 17
Complications	£10,445	£10,419	-£ 26
Adverse Events (Oedema, hypos)	£ 105	£ 104	-£1
Subtotal remaining lifetime costs	£15,826	£15,836	-£10
Combined total lifetime costs			
(a) Total Costs based on Trial	£16,080	£ 16,289	£ 209
			(-£ 704 to £ 1137)
(b) Total Costs 'real world'	£16,080	£16,162	£ 82
			(-£ 831 to £ 1010)
QALY gain up to month 12	0.7530	0.7600 *	0.0070
			(-0.0126 to 0.0491)
Discounted QALYs in remaining lifetime			
Mean QALYs lived if not diabetic	13.9195	13.9195	0
Lost QALYs due to diabetes-related reduced survival	-4.2809	-4.2526	0.0283*
Lost QALYs due to complications of diabetes	-0.3835	-0.3833	0.0002
Weight-related QALY change	-0.0447	-0.0410	0.0037
Subtotal QALYs in remaining lifetime	9.2104	9.2426	0.0322
Combined total lifetime QALYs gained	9.9634	10.0026	0.0392
Incremental Cost per QALY gained			(-0.0813 to 0.1786)
(a) Total Costs based on Trial			£5.387
(b) Total Costs 'mail world'			60,000

Cost Effectiveness Acceptability Curve



- Davies MJ, Heller S, Skinner TC, Campbell MJ, Carey ME. Cradock S, et al: Diabetes Education and Self Management for Ongoing and Newly Diagnosed Collaborative. Effectiveness of the diabetes education and self management for ongoing and newly diagnosed (DESMOND) programme for people with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes: cluster randomised controlled trial. BMJ 2008;38:491-5
- Clarke PM, Gray AM, Briggs A, Farmer AJ, Fenn P, Stevens RJ, et al. A model to estimate the lifetime health outcomes of patients with type 2 diabetes: the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Outcomes Model (UKPDS no. 68). Diabetología. 2004;47:1747-9.
- Clarke P, Gray A, Legood R, Briggs A, Holman R. The impact of diabetes-related complications on healthcare costs: results from the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS Study No. 65). Diabet Med 2003;20:442-50.
- Clarke P, Gray A, Holman R. Estimating utility values for health states of type 2 diabetic patients using the EQ-5D (UKPDS 62). Med Decis Making 2002;22:340-349.
- Coffey JT, Brandle M, Zhou H, Marriott D, Burke R, Tabaei BP, et al. Valuing health-related quality of life in diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2002;25:2238-43.
- 6. Warren E, Brennan A, Akehurst R. Cost-effectiveness of sibutramine in the treatment of obesity. Med Decis Making. 2004 Jan-Feb:24(1):9-19