





CONSTRUCTING A CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM FOR A NEW QALY MEASURE IN MENTAL HEALTH: RECOVERING QUALITY OF LIFE (ReQoL)

Brazier J., Keetharuth A.D., Connell J., Carlton J., Taylor Buck E., Ricketts T., and Barkham M. University of Sheffield, UK

AIM: To develop a classification amenable to valuation for use in mental health as it has been recognised that EQ-5D, the most widely used generic measure used in the UK, is not appropriate in many areas of mental health.

Stage 1:
Identifying
Domains

Systematic qualitative literature review Qualitative interviews (n = 19)

7 themes identified: 6 mental health and 1 physical health Connell et al. (2014, 2012)

Autonomy
Control
Choice
Relationships
Belonging
Physical
health

Stage 2: Generating item pool 1597 Items were generated from: interview manuscripts, additional items from users and existing instruments

Initial round of selection
N = 1597

ReQoL Sheffield team
N = 122

Expert User Group
N = 180

Scientific Group
N = 101

ReQoL Sheffield team
N = 87

- Reviewed all 1597 items
- Applied Norman &
 Streiner's criteria (reading age, jargon, value-laden, length of items, double negatives ...)
- 122 items were presented
- Expert users added 58 items yielding 180 items
- Ensured items covered all sub themes and severity levels

Stage 3: Face and content validity Individual interviews and focus groups with service users: adults (n = 59); adolescents (n = 17); Polish and Urdu speakers (n = 15)
Translatability assessment conducted by MAPI

Service users added a further 10 items and the Scientific Group reduced the number of items using all available evidence

61-item ReQoL

Stage 4: Field testing

61-item ReQoL administered (n = 2262) Exploratory Factor Analysis Item Response Theory

Two factors identified: negatively worded and positively worded items. 21 items eliminated 40-item ReQoL

Stage 5: Further field testing

40 -item ReQoL administered at baseline (n = 4253) and follow-up (n = 1153) Exploratory Factor Analysis, Item Response Theory, Responsiveness

Factor analysis results: Bifactor model (global and method factors) and two-factor model provide best fit

Stage 6: Further face and content validity

6 Focus groups with a total of 35 mental health clinicians across professions

Participants chose their preferred items for each domain from the 40-item ReQoL

FINAL ITEM SELECTION: Principles

- 1. All 6 mental health domains are to be represented in the final version of the ReQoL
- 2. All items must have service user acceptability and clinician acceptability
- 3. All items must demonstrate acceptable psychometric properties following the application of multiple analyses

Combining qualitative and quantitative evidence, the final 10-item ReQoL was constructed. It contains:

- 10 mental health items (5 frequency-based response options) and 1 optional physical health item (5 severity-based response options)
- 4 negatively worded and 6 positively worded items
- a recall period of 1 week

NEXT STEP: Preference weights for the ReQoL to generate QALYs